arXiv:1409.6784v1 [nlin.SI] 24 Sep 2014

On some class of partial difference equations admitting a zero-curvature representation

Svinin Andrei K.

Institute for System Dynamics and Control Theory
Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences
svinin@icc.ru

Abstract

We show some classes of higher order partial difference equations admitting a zero-curvature representation and generalizing lattice potential KdV equation. We construct integrable hierarchies which, as we suppose, yield generalized symmetries for obtained class of partial difference equations. As a byproduct we also derive non-evolutionary differential-difference equations with their Lax pair representation which may be of potential interest.

1 Introduction

The simplest case of an evolutionary differential-difference equation sharing the property of having Lax pair representation is given by the Volterra lattice [18]

$$T_i' = T_i(T_{i-1} - T_{i+1}). \tag{1.1}$$

Integrability properties of this equation were first investigated in [9], [4]. One knows that equation (1.1) is related via the substitution $T_i = u_i u_{i+1}$ to its modified version

$$u_i' = u_i^2(u_{i-1} - u_{i+1}). \tag{1.2}$$

In turn, the substitution $T_i = 1/(v_i - v_{i-2})(v_{i+1} - v_{i-1})$ gives the relationship of the Volterra lattice (1.1) with one of an equation of Volterra type [19]

$$v_i' = \frac{1}{v_{i+1} - v_{i-1}}. \tag{1.3}$$

As is known, this equation plays the role of generalized symmetry for lattice potential KdV (lpKdV) equation*

$$(\bar{v}_i - v_{i+1})\,(\bar{v}_{i+1} - v_i) = c. \tag{1.4}$$

*Note that this equation in fact are equivalent to partial difference one if one introduces additional variable j and further identifies $v_i = v_{i,j}$ and $\bar{v}_i = v_{i,j+1}$.

Another integrability property of this equation is its zero-curvature representation [1]. In the paper we present a class of higher order equations of the form

$$Q(v_i, \dots, v_{i+p-1}, \bar{v}_i, \dots, \bar{v}_{i+p-1}) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

parametrized by pairs of co-prime numbers (n, h). These equations in a sense generalize (1.4). It should be noted that, recently, equations of the form (1.5) were considered in [2] from the point of view of three-dimensional consistency and some examples were given there. Partial difference equations presented below share a property of having a zero-curvature representation which constructed as Darboux transformation for some linear problem. Also we construct integrable hierarchies of evolution equations on the field v_i which appear as compatibility conditions of the linear problem with corresponding evolution linear equations. It gives us hope that these evolution equations are generalized symmetries for corresponding partial difference ones.

A rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we consider some class of auxiliary linear equations and look for compatibility conditions for these ones. In a result, we get differential-difference equations in a sense admitting Lax pair representation. It is worth remarking that resulting equations, generally speaking, are not of evolutionary type. In section 3, we consider Darboux transformation of auxiliary linear equations and derive some class of quadratic partial difference equations as a condition of compatibility of corresponding linear discrete equations. In section 4 we derive some integrable hierarchies which, as we suppose, are generalized symmetetries for corresponding partial difference equation of lpKdV type.

2 Linear equations and its consistency conditions

2.1 The first class of differential-difference equations

Let us consider the following pair of linear equations:

$$z s_{i+n} \phi_{i+n} + \phi_i = z \phi_{i+n+h}, \quad \phi_i' = z \xi_i \phi_{i+n}, \tag{2.1}$$

on some wave function $\phi = \phi_i = \phi_i(x, z)$. By assumption, they are parameterized by some positive integers n and h. As is seen, these equations constitute compatible pair provided that two relations, namely,

$$s_i \xi_i = s_{i+n} \xi_{i+h}, \quad s_i' = \xi_{i+h} - \xi_{i-n} \tag{2.2}$$

are valid. Remark that the first relation in (2.2) can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\prod_{j=1}^{h} \xi_{i+j-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} s_{i+j-1} = \delta \tag{2.3}$$

with some arbitrary constant δ. By suitable reparametrization, we can make $\delta = 1$. It is a simple observation that putting

$$\xi_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{i+j-1}, \quad s_i = \prod_{j=1}^{h} \frac{1}{u_{i+j-1}}, \tag{2.4}$$

with some field $u = u_i$, we solve (2.3) with $\delta = 1$. This ansatz, after substituting it in the second relation in (2.2), gives differential-difference equation

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{h} \frac{1}{u_{i+j-1}} \right)' = \prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{i+j+h-1} - \prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{i+j-n-1} \tag{2.5}$$

which we can rewrite as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{h} \frac{u'_{i+j-1}}{u_{i+j-1}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n+h} u_{i+j-n-1} - \prod_{j=1}^{n+h} u_{i+j-1} \tag{2.6}$$

or in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{h} u_{i+j-1} \right)' &= \prod_{j=1}^{h} u_{i+j-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n+h} u_{i+j-n-1} - \prod_{j=1}^{n+h} u_{i+j-1} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{h} u^2_{i+j-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{i+j-n-1} - \prod_{j=1}^{n} u_{i+j+h-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Remark that relations (2.5) and (2.6) can be considered as differential-difference conservation laws for equation (2.7).

2.2 The second class of differential-difference equations

Let us introduce the potential v_i by $s_i = v_{i+h} - v_{i-n}$ so that $v'_i = \xi_i$. Therefore the first relation in (2.2) becomes

$$(v_{i+h} - v_{i-n})\, v'_i = (v_{i+h+n} - v_i)\, v'_{i+h}. \tag{2.8}$$

It is worth remarking that the latter is equivalent to the differential-difference equation

$$\prod_{j=1}^{h} v'_{i+j-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} (v_{i+j+h-1} - v_{i+j-n-1}) = 1. \tag{2.9}$$

In the case $h = 1$, this class of equations were reported in [15].

Thus, we have in hand two classes of nonlinear differential-difference equations, namely, (2.7) and (2.9) which gives the compatibility of the linear equations (2.1). These equations involve a pair of positive integers (n, h), but one can see that to separate really different equations, one must suppose that n and h are co-prime positive integers.

2.3 The third class of differential-difference equations

Let

$$T_{i+n} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{n+h} u_{i+j-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

By virtue of (2.4)

$$T_{i+n} = \frac{\xi_i}{s_{i+n}} = \frac{\xi_{i+h}}{s_i} \tag{2.11}$$

$$= \frac{v'_i}{s_{i+n}} = \frac{v'_{i+h}}{s_i}. \tag{2.12}$$

In turn, by virtue of (2.12) and equation (2.9)

$$\prod_{j=1}^{h} T_{i+j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{n+h} \frac{1}{s_{i+j-n-1}}$$

and

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{h} T_{i+j-1}\right)' = -\prod_{j=1}^{n+h} \frac{1}{s_{i+j-n-1}} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n+h} \frac{s'_{i+j-n-1}}{s_{i+j-n-1}}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{h} T_{i+j-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+h} \frac{v'_{i+j-2n-1}}{s_{i+j-n-1}} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+h} \frac{v'_{i+j+h-n-1}}{s_{i+j-n-1}} \right).$$

Using (2.12) we get

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{h} T_{i+j-1}\right)' = \prod_{j=1}^{h} T_{i+j-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+h} T_{i+j-n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+h} T_{i+j-1} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{h} T_{i+j-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{i+j-n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{i+j+h-1} \right). \tag{2.13}$$

One can see that (2.10) relates two equations (2.13) and (2.7).

2.4 Linear equations on new wave function

Let us introduce γ_i such that

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+1}}{\gamma_i} = \frac{1}{u_i}. \tag{2.14}$$

Clearly, that, by virtue of (2.4) and (2.10),

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+n}}{\gamma_i} = \frac{1}{\xi_i}, \quad \frac{\gamma_{i+h}}{\gamma_i} = s_i, \quad \frac{\gamma_{i+n+h}}{\gamma_i} = T_{i+n}. \tag{2.15}$$

Let $\phi_i \equiv \gamma_i\psi_i$. Linear equations (2.1) in terms of new wave function $\psi = \psi_i$ become

$$z\psi_{i+n} + T_{i+n}\psi_i = z\psi_{i+n+h}, \quad \psi_i' = z\psi_{i+n} - \frac{\gamma_i'}{\gamma_i}\psi_i. \tag{2.16}$$

With (2.15) and the second equation in (2.2), we have the following:

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_{i+h}}{\gamma_i}\right)' = \frac{\gamma_{i+h}}{\gamma_i}\left(\frac{\gamma_{i+h}'}{\gamma_{i+h}} - \frac{\gamma_i'}{\gamma_i}\right) = s_i' = \xi_{i+h} - \xi_{i-n}$$

and then taking into account (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\gamma_{i+h}'}{\gamma_{i+h}} - \frac{\gamma_i'}{\gamma_i} &= \frac{\xi_{i+h} - \xi_{i-n}}{s_i} \\ &= \frac{\xi_{i+h}}{s_i} - \frac{\xi_{i+h-n}}{s_{i-n}} \\ &= T_{i+n} - T_i.\end{aligned}$$

We can resolve the latter as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{h}\frac{\gamma_{i+j-1}'}{\gamma_{i+j-1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{i+j-1}. \tag{2.17}$$

3 Darboux transformation

3.1 Quadratic discrete equation

Let us discuss Darboux transformation for linear equations (2.1). We consider linear transformation in the form

$$\bar{\phi}_i = \phi_{i+h} + g_i\phi_i \tag{3.1}$$

with some coefficient g_i to be defined by condition that (3.1) should be Darboux transformation for (2.1). Consider the transformation of the first equation in (2.1). We have

$$\begin{aligned}z\bar{s}_i\left(\phi_{i+n+h} + g_{i+n}\phi_{i+n}\right) + \phi_{i+h} + g_i\phi_i &= z\left(\phi_{i+h-n} + g_{i+n+h}\phi_{i+n+h}\right) \\ &= zs_{i+h}\phi_{i+n+h} + \phi_{i+h} + zg_{i+n+h}\phi_{i+n+h}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$z\left(\bar{s}_i - s_{i+h} - g_{i+n+h}\right)\phi_{i+n+h} + g_i\phi_i + z\bar{s}_i g_{i+n}\phi_{i+n} = 0.$$

Requiring that (3.1) to be Darboux transformation gives the relations

$$g_{i+n+h} - g_i = \bar{s}_i - s_{i+h} = \bar{v}_{i+n+h} - \bar{v}_i + v_{i+h} - v_{i+n+2h}, \quad g_i s_i = \bar{s}_i g_{i+n}$$

the first of which is solved by $g_i = \bar{v}_i - v_{i+h}$ and therefore the second one is equivalent to the following discrete equation:

$$(\bar{v}_i - v_{i+h})\,(v_{i+n+h} - v_i) = (\bar{v}_{i+n+h} - \bar{v}_i)\,(\bar{v}_{i+n} - v_{i+n+h})\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that this equation in the special case $h = 1$ has appeared in [15]. One can check, that it can be also written as

$$(v_{i+n+h} - v_i)\,(\bar{v}_{i+n+h} - v_{i+h}) = (\bar{v}_{i+n+h} - \bar{v}_i)\,(\bar{v}_{i+n} - v_i) \tag{3.3}$$

and in the form

$$(\bar{v}_{i+n} - v_i)\,(\bar{v}_i - v_{i+h}) = (\bar{v}_{i+n+h} - v_{i+h})\,(\bar{v}_{i+n} - v_{i+n+h})\,. \tag{3.4}$$

We observe that replacing $v_i \leftrightarrow \bar{v}_i$ and $(n, h) \leftrightarrow (h, n)$ in (3.2), we obtain (3.3). This means that two different pairs of parameters (n, h) and (h, n)correspond in fact to the same equation. Thus except the case $(n, h) = (1, 1)$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that n and h are co-prime positive integer numbers with condition $h < n$.

Making use of (3.4), we observe that this quadratic equation has the following integral:

$$I_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} g_{i+j-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{h} h_{i+j-1}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $h_i \equiv g_{i+n} + s_i = \bar{v}_{i+n} - v_i$. We can also present I_i in the form

$$I_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n+h} (\bar{v}_{i+j-1} - v_{i+j+h-1})\,.$$

The latter needs some explanation. This formula involve $\bar{v}_{i+\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, n+h-1\}$, while α in $v_{i+\alpha}$ is calculated modulo $n + h$.

Remark that the equation $I_i = c$ with some constant c in simplest case $(n, h) = (1, 1)$ is the lpKdV equation (1.4) [11, 12] also known as H_1 equation in the ABS classification of quad-equations satisfying some symmetry conditions and sharing the property of three-dimensional consistency [1].

One can consider the relation

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n+h} (\bar{v}_{i+j-1} - v_{i+j+h-1}) = c \tag{3.6}$$

with an arbitrary constant c in a sense as a generalization of the lpKdV equation (1.4). We have presented these equations in the particular case $h = 1$ in [15]. Clearly, they are higher order partial difference equations of the form (1.5) with $p = n + h$.

3.2 Discrete zero-curvature representation for the equation (3.2)

Let $\phi_{k,i} \equiv \phi_{i+k-1}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n+h$ and $\Phi_i \equiv (\phi_{1,i}, \ldots, \phi_{n+h,i})^T$. We can rewrite (3.1) in matrix form $\bar{\Phi}_i = V_i \Phi_i$ or more explicitly as

$$\bar{\phi}_{1,i} = g_i \phi_{1,i} + \phi_{h+1,i}, \ldots, \bar{\phi}_{n,i} = g_{i+n-1} \phi_{n,i} + \phi_{n+h,i},$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{n+1,i} = h_i \phi_{n+1,i} + \frac{1}{z} \phi_{1,i}, \ldots, \bar{\phi}_{n+h,i} = h_{i+h-1} \phi_{n+h,i} + \frac{1}{z} \phi_{h,i}.$$

Obviously, the second equation which complete discrete zero-curvature representation for (3.2) being of the form $\Phi_{i+1} = U_i \Phi_i$ is explicitly given by the equations

$$\phi_{k,i+1} = \phi_{k+1,i} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, n+h-1, \quad \phi_{n+h,i+1} = \frac{1}{z} \phi_{1,i} + s_{i+n} \phi_{n+1,i}.$$

Thus the discrete zero-curvature representation for quadratic equation (3.2) is given by matrix equation $V_{i+1} U_i = \bar{U}_i V_i$.

3.3 An example. Zero-curvature representation for lpKdV

Consider the simplest case $(n, h) = (1, 1)$ for which we have the following pair of auxiliary linear equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,i+1} \\ \phi_{2,i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \dfrac{1}{z} & v_{i+2} - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,i} \\ \phi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}_{1,i} \\ \bar{\phi}_{2,i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}_i - v_{i+1} & 1 \\ \dfrac{1}{z} & \bar{v}_{i+1} - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,i} \\ \phi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\varphi_{1,i} = \phi_{1,i}$ and $\varphi_{2,i} = (v_{i+1} - v_i)\, \phi_{1,i} - \phi_{2,i}$. In terms of these new wave functions we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i+1} \\ \varphi_{2,i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{i+1} - v_i & -1 \\ -(v_{i+1} - v_i)^2 - \dfrac{1}{z} & v_{i+1} - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i} \\ \varphi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varphi}_{1,i} \\ \bar{\varphi}_{2,i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}_i - v_i & -1 \\ (\bar{v}_i - v_i)(\bar{v}_{i+1} - \bar{v}_i) + (v_i - \bar{v}_{i+1})(v_{i+1} - v_i) - \dfrac{1}{z} & \bar{v}_i - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i} \\ \varphi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we make use of (1.4) we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varphi}_{1,i} \\ \bar{\varphi}_{2,i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}_i - v_i & -1 \\ -(\bar{v}_i - v_i)^2 + c - \dfrac{1}{z} & \bar{v}_i - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i} \\ \varphi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can see that the latter is more like (3.7). Let $c = \alpha - \beta$ and $1/z = \alpha - \lambda$, where λ is a new "spectral" parameter. Then, as a result we obtain well-known symmetric zero-curvature representation defined by a pair of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i+1} \\ \varphi_{2,i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{i+1} - v_i & -1 \\ -(v_{i+1} - v_i)^2 + \lambda - \alpha & v_{i+1} - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i} \\ \varphi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varphi}_{1,i} \\ \bar{\varphi}_{2,i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}_i - v_i & -1 \\ -(\bar{v}_i - v_i)^2 + \lambda - \beta & \bar{v}_i - v_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,i} \\ \varphi_{2,i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

4 Generalized symmetries

One knows that the quad-equations which are consistent around a the cube possess generalized symmetries [6, 7, 8], [13], [17]. In this section we derive the integrable hierarchies of evolution equations on the field v_i which apparently are symmetries for discrete equations (3.2).

It was shown in [14], that linear equation (2.16) together with

$$\partial_s \psi_i = z^{sh} \psi_{i+shn} + \sum_{j=1}^{sh} (-1)^j z^{sh-j} S^j_{sn}(i - (j-1)n) \psi_{i+(sh-j)n}$$

constitute Lax pair for hierarchy of evolution equations[†]

$$\partial_s T_i = (-1)^{sh} T_i \left\{ S^{sh}_{sn}(i - shn + n + h) - S^{sh}_{sn}(i - shn) \right\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where discrete polynomial S^k_r attached to some pair (n, h) is defined by

$$S^k_r(i) \equiv \sum_{\{\lambda_j\} \in B_{k,r}} T_{i+\lambda_1 h+(k-1)n} \cdots T_{i+\lambda_{k-1} h+n} T_{i+\lambda_k h},$$

with $B_{k,r} \equiv \{\lambda_j : 0 \le \lambda_1 \le \cdots \le \lambda_k \le r-1\}$. Suppose that

$$\partial_s u_i = u_i \left\{ \omega(i) - \omega(i+1) \right\}, \tag{4.2}$$

with some discrete polynomial ω in u, such that (2.10) should give Miura-type transformation relating (4.2) to (4.1). Direct inspection gives $\omega(i) = S^{sh}_{sn}(i - shn + n)$ where T_i is replaced by u_i by virtue of (2.10).

By virtue of (2.14) we get $\partial_s \gamma_i / \gamma_i = \omega_i$ and

$$\partial_s \phi_i = z^{sh} v_i^{[sh]} \phi_{i+shn} + \sum_{j=1}^{sh-1} (-1)^j z^{sh-j} v_i^{[sh-j]} S^j_{sn}(i - (j-1)n) \phi_{i+(sh-j)n}$$

[†]In the following we get rid of the factor $(-1)^{sh}$ by replacing $t_s \mapsto (-1)^{sh} t_s$.

where $v_i^{[r]} \equiv v'_i v'_{i+n} \cdots v'_{i+(r-1)n}$ for any positive integer r. We get as a result compatibility condition for pair of this evolution linear equation with the first one in (2.1) in the form

$$\partial_s v_i = v'_i S_{sn}^{sh-1}(i - shn + 2n). \tag{4.3}$$

Let us discuss the latter. Our assumption is that in general case the right-hand side of (4.3), by virtue of (2.9) and (2.12), is some discrete polynomial in $c_i \equiv s_i^{-1}$, which in the following we denote as $P_s^{(n,h)} = P_s^{(n,h)}[c]$. Moreover, actual calculations show that two pairs (n,h) and (h,n) correspond in fact to the same hierarchy, or more exactly that $P_s^{(n,h)} = P_s^{(h,n)}$, and we accept this as the second hypothesis. It is the more natural if we assume that (4.3) yields generalized symmetries for equation of the form (3.6) and this is our the third hypothesis.

We know that in the particular case $h = 1$, v'_i is expressed via v_i as $v'_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} c_{i+j-1}$ and in this case

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s v_i &= P_s^{(n,1)} \\ &= S_{sn}^{s-1}(i - (s-2)n) \prod_{j=1}^{n} c_{i+j-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

This hierarchy was presented in [15]. In the more particular case $n = 1$, (4.4) gives generalized symmetries for the lpKdV equation (1.4) in explicit form.

Finally, let us write down discrete polynomials in c_i defining the first flows in (4.3) and corresponding to some pairs of co-prime numbers (n,h) such that $h < n$, for $h = 1, 2, 3$. We get the following.

$$P_1^{(n,1)}(i) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} c_{i+j-1},$$

$$P_1^{(3,2)}(i) = c_{i-1}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2} + c_i c_{i+1}\left\{c_{i-3}c_{i-1} + c_{i+2}c_{i+4}\right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^{(5,2)}(i) &= c_{i-1}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+3}c_{i+4} + c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+3}\left\{c_{i-3}c_{i-1} + c_{i+4}c_{i+6}\right\} \\ &\quad + c_i c_{i+3}\left\{c_{i-5}c_{i-3}c_{i-1}c_{i+1} + c_{i+2}c_{i+4}c_{i+6}c_{i+8}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^{(7,2)}(i) &= c_{i-1}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+3}c_{i+4}c_{i+5}c_{i+6} + c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+3}c_{i+4}c_{i+5}\left\{c_{i-3}c_{i-1} + c_{i+6}c_{i+8}\right\} \\ &\quad + c_i c_{i+2}c_{i+3}c_{i+5}\left\{c_{i-5}c_{i-3}c_{i-1}c_{i+1} + c_{i+4}c_{i+6}c_{i+8}c_{i+10}\right\} \\ &\quad + c_i c_{i+5}\left\{c_{i-7}c_{i-5}c_{i-3}c_{i-1}c_{i+1}c_{i+3} + c_{i+2}c_{i+4}c_{i+6}c_{i+8}c_{i+10}c_{i+12}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^{(4,3)}(i) &= c_{i-2}c_{i-1}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+3} + c_{i-4}c_{i-1}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}c_{i+5} \\ &\quad + c_{i-1}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+2}\left\{c_{i-4}c_{i-2} + c_{i+3}c_{i+5}\right\} \\ &\quad + c_{i-2}c_i c_{i+1}c_{i+3}\left\{c_{i-5}c_{i-1} + c_{i+2}c_{i+6}\right\} \\ &\quad + c_i c_{i+1}\left\{c_{i-8}c_{i-5}c_{i-4}c_{i-2} + c_{i-5}c_{i-4}c_{i-2}c_{i-1} + c_{i+2}c_{i+3}c_{i+5}c_{i+6} + c_{i+3}c_{i+5}c_{i+6}c_{i+9}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Conclusion

In the paper we have presented a class of partial difference equations parametrized by pairs of co-prime numbers (n, h). They are given, on the one hand by quadratic equation (3.2) and from the other hand by lpKdV-type equations (3.6).

There remain some problems. The first one: are these systems satisfy the condition of three-dimensional consistency? It is well-known that it is valid in the case $(n, h) = (1, 1)$, that is, for the lpKdV equation (1.4) [1]. Using of the test proposed in [2] for other cases of (3.6) runs into insurmountable technical difficulties especially that one have to prove this property for an infinite number of equations.

In addition, we did not strictly prove that (4.3) yields generalized symmetries for (3.6), but we hope to fill these gaps in the future.

References

[1] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko and Yu. B. Suris, Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **233** (2003) 513–543. 2, 6, 10

[2] V.E. Adler and V.V. Postnikov, On discrete 2D integrable equations of higher order, *J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor.* **47** (2014) Art. No. 045206. 2, 10

[3] O.I. Bogoyavlenskii, Some constructions of integrable dynamical systems, *Math. USSR-Izv.* **31** (1988) 47–76.

[4] R. Hirota and J. Satsuma, Nonlinear partial difference equations. I. A difference analogue of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **43** 1424–1433. 1

[5] Y. Itoh, An H-theorem for a system of competing species, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **51** (1975) 374–379.

[6] D. Levi, M. Petrera and C. Scimiterna, The lattice Schwarzian KdV equation and its symmetries , *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007) 12753–12761. 8

[7] D. Levi, M. Petrera, Continuous symmetries of the lattice potential KdV equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007) 4141–4159. 8

[8] D. Levi, M. Petrera, C. Scimiterna and R. Yamilov, On Miura Transformations and Volterra-Type Equations Associated with the Adler-Bobenko-Suris Equations, *SIGMA* **4** (2008) Paper 077. 8

[9] S.V. Manakov, Complete integrability and stochastization of discrete dynamical systems, *Sov. Phys.-JETP* **40** (1975) 269–274. 1

[10] K. Narita, Soliton solutions to extended Volterra equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **51** (1982) 1682–1685.

[11] F.W. Nijhoff, G.R.W. Quispel and H.W. Capel, Direct linearization of nonlinear difference-difference equations *Phys. Lett. A* **97** (1983) 125–128. 6

[12] F.W. Nijhoff and H.W. Capel, The discrete Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Acta Appl. Math.* **39** (1995) 133–158. 6

[13] O.G. Rasin and P.E. Hydon, Symmetries of integrable difference equations on the quad-graph, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **119** (2007) 253–269. 8

[14] A.K. Svinin, On some class of homogeneous polynomials and explicit form of integrable hierarchies of differential-difference equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011) Art. No. 165206. 8

[15] A.K. Svinin, On some integrable lattice related by the Miura-type transformation to the Itoh-Narita-Bogoyavlenskii lattice, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011) Art. No. 465210. 3, 6, 9

[16] A.K. Svinin, On some classes of discrete polynomials and ordinary difference equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** (2014) Art. No. 155201.

[17] A. Tongas, D. Tsoubelis and P. Xenitidis, Affine linear and D_4 symmetric lattice equations: symmetry analysis and reductions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007) 13353–13384. 8

[18] V. Volterra *Leçon sur la théorie mathématique de la lutte pour la vie* Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1931. 1

[19] R.I. Yamilov, Classification of discrete evolution equations, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **38** (1983) 155–156 (in Russian). 1